

10

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/751,370 01/05/2004		01/05/2004	Hui Yan	54151/292821	2211
23370	7590	11/09/2004		EXAMINER	
JOHN S.	•		DEPUMPO, DANIEL G		
KILPATRI 1100 PEAG		CKTON, LLP STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ATLANTA			3611		
				DATE MAILED: 11/09/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No. Applicant(s)						
	Office Action Summan	10/751,370	YAN, HUI	E				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Daniel G. DePumpo	3611					
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	idress				
THE MA - Extensic after SI) - If the pe - If NO pe - Failure t Any repl	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. riod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuly received by the Office later than three months after the mail patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron tte, cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered time n the mailing date of this of ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 05.	January 2004.						
2a)∏ T	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□ S	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
cl	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition	n of Claims							
4)⊠ C	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□ C	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠ C	laim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application	n Papers							
9) <u></u> T⊦	ne specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.						
10)□ Th	0) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)∐ Th	ne oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Office	e Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119							
•	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).					
,	All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
Ž	. Certified copies of the priority document		Cam No					
	. Certified copies of the priority documer			l Storo				
J.	 Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bure 	•	red in this Mational	Stage				
* Se	e the attached detailed Office action for a lis		ed.					
		or the continue copies not recent	.					
Attachment(s)							
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar						
	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	Paper No(s)/Mail D 8) 5) Notice of Informal		O-152)				
	lo(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/751,370 Page 2

Art Unit: 3611

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-18, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 280, subclass 220.
- II. Claims 19 and 20, drawn to a method, classified in class 280, subclass 252.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the process as claimed can be practiced with a materially different product since it does not require a geartrain, a hinge joint or means for receiving a downward force.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the apparatus of the claimed invention:
 - I fig. 1
 - II fig. 6
 - III fig. 7
 - IV fig. 9
 - V fig. 14
 - VI fig. 18

Application/Control Number: 10/751,370 Page 3

Art Unit: 3611

VII fig. 20

VIII fig. 21.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of **the method** of the claimed invention:

A fig. 23

Application/Control Number: 10/751,370 Page 4

Art Unit: 3611

B fig. 24.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel G. DePumpo whose telephone number is 703 308-1113. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday.

Art Unit: 3611

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 703 308 1113. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel C. DePumpo Primary Examiner Art Unit 3611

dgd 11/1/04